
Comment Set D.89: Edith and Pedro Leiva



Response to Comment Set D.89: Edith and Pedro Leiva

- D.89-1 Thank you for expressing your concerns on the Project. Please note that Alternatives 1 through 4 would not require the removal of existing residences. As discussed in Section C.9.10.2, the Alternative 5 alignment would be constructed across approximately 103 privately owned parcels. However, the majority of land uses that would be restricted as a result of Alternative 5 would be the erection of new structures within the alternative ROW. Given that SCE has not conducted any engineering design or routing studies for Alternative 5, the EIR/EIS has assumed that the removal of one or more homes could occur. As such, Section C.9.10.2 (Impact L-3) concluded that potential impacts to residential land uses as a result of Alternative 5 would be significant.
- D.89-2 As discussed in Draft EIR/EIS Section C.15.10.2, the change to existing views as a result of infrastructure construction are considered a significant and unavoidable impact of Alternative.5. Your concerns will be shared with the decision-makers who are reviewing the Project and alternatives at the USDA Forest Service and the CPUC.